



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/751,595	12/29/2000	Min Zhu	16440.4004	8830
34313	7590 02/09/2006		EXAMINER	
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP IP PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT			ALAM, UZMA	
4 PARK PLAZA SUITE 1600 IRVINE, CA 92614-2558			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2157	
			DATE MAILED: 02/09/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	_			
Office Action Summary		09/751,595	ZHU ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit	_			
		Uzma Alam	2157				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app			-			
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Dominions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 N</u>	ovember 2005.					
. —	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)							
, —	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
•	The drawing(s) filed on 29 December 2000 is/a		ted to by the Examiner.				
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	, ,	•				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· - · ·					
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage				
Attachmen	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar					
3) 🗶 Infor	re of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date b-10-04 //-(2-042-16-05	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/751,595

Art Unit: 2157

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is responsive to the Request for Continued Examination filed April 29, 2005.

Claims 1-24 are pending. Claims 1, 7, 13, 19 have been amended and claims 25 and 26 have been added. Claims 1-26 represent a distributed meeting management system.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Butler US Patent No. 6,584,493 in view of Tang et al. US Patent No. 6,349,327. Butler discloses the invention as claimed including a conferencing and collaboration system (see abstract). Tang discloses the invention as claimed including a distributed work environment (see abstract).

As per claims 1, 7, 13, 19, 25 and 26 Butler discloses a method, computer program, computer readable medium and computer data signal of distributed collaborative computing comprising:

partitioning a collaboration function into sub-functions (four different processes form the collaboration process - column 8, line 54-65; column 12, lines 1-11);

assigning at least one said sub-function to each of a plurality of logical processes (the processes perform certain tasks - column 12, lines 11-41; column 13, lines 45-59);

Application/Control Number: 09/751,595

Art Unit: 2157

monitoring said respective management processes to determine whether a quality of service is met (when the host does not have control of the application, sometimes the mouse movements get jerky and the quality of service of the application is affected; column 10, lines 46-67, column 11, 15nes 1-43; column 20, lines 46-57); and

when the quality of service is not met, spawning a new logical process (if the service of he application is being affected the host either wait or end the control of the service, which are two of the logical processes that for the collaboration processes; column 10, lines 46-67, column 11, 15nes 1-43; column 20, lines 58-67, column 21, lines 1-64).

Butler does not disclose:

associating a respective management process with each of said plurality of logical processes, said logical processes configured so that each said logical process is capable of communicating with every other said logical process thru said respective management process;

communicating between said logical processes using said respective management processes;

Monitoring said respective management processes with a single supervisor process.

Tang discloses: associating a respective management process with each of said plurality of logical processes, said logical processes configured so that each said logical process is capable of communicating with every other said logical process thru said respective management process (Tang teaches the encounter aware application which handles the logical process and the encounter proxy object which handles the management processes; column 13, lines 31-67);

Application/Control Number: 09/751,595

Art Unit: 2157

communicating between said logical processes using said respective management processes (Tang teaches that the encounter aware application sends status and other messages to the encounter proxy object column 13, lines 56-65; column 14, lines 18-32);

Monitoring said respective management processes with a single supervisor process (Tang teaches the encounter server which monitors the respective management processes; column 14, lines 1-50, column 16, lines 29-35).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the monitoring, associating, and communicating between process of Tang with the partitioning of processes of Butler. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this to maintain lists of services being offered and to balance workload between processes.

As per claims 2, 8, 14 and 20 Butler discloses a method, computer program, computer readable medium and computer data signal of claims 1, 7, 13 and 19 wherein said collaboration function comprises real time conferencing (column 9, lines 36-52).

As per claims 3, 9, 15 and 21 Butler discloses a method, computer program, computer readable medium and computer data signal of claims 1, 7, 13 and 19 wherein said collaboration function comprises application sharing (column 9, lines 52-65).

As per claims 4, 10, 16 and 22 Butler discloses a method, computer program, computer readable medium and computer data signal of claims 1, 7, 13 and 19 wherein said collaboration function comprises document sharing (column 9, lines 52-65).

As per claims 5, 11, 17 and 23 Butler discloses a method, computer program, computer readable medium and computer data signal of claims 1, 7, 13 and 19 wherein said sub-function comprise collaboration serving, application serving, log serving, license management, and meeting management and wherein each said sub-function forms at least one logical server (column 11, lines 29-44).

As per claims 2, 8, 14 and 20 Butler discloses a method, computer program, computer readable medium and computer data signal of claims 1, 7, 13 and 19 wherein said logical processes are instantiated on at least one physical server (column 8, lines 54-65).

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 7, 13, 19, 25 and 26 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

1. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2157

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Uzma Alam whose telephone number is (571) 272-3995. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tuesday 5:30 AM - 2:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (571) 272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Uzma Alam Ua January 31, 2006